

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		· ·			
APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/837,020	04/18/2001	Yasushi Kohno	TKA0028	7531	
759	90 09/29/2006	EXAMINER			
MICHAEL S. GZYBOWSKI			VALENTI, ANDREA M		
BUTZEL LONG 350 SOUTH MA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 300		3643			
ANN ARBOR,	MI 48104		DATE MAILED: 09/29/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/837,02	20	KOHNO ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Andrea M		3643			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress		
A SH WHIC - Exter - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE WAR IS LONGER, FROM THE MASSIONS OF THE MASSI	AILING DATE OF TH of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even unication. tutory period will apply and w vill, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be timed to the source of the state of	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠ 2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed. This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition to closed in accordance with the practice.	b) This action is roor allowance except	for formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3,7,13,15 and 16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3,7,13,15 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) accepted or b) accepted or b) accepted or b) tion to the drawing(s) between the correction is required.	ne held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P ^o mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	ГО-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 7, 13, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 3,950,891 to Hinkes in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,666,762 to Carlson et al.

Regarding Claim 1, Hinkes teaches a method encapsulating at least one natural plant seed of a light germinator (Hinkes Col. 1line 60-65), the at least one plant seed having a size of 1 mm or less (Hinkes Col. 1line 16-20); an encapsulating the seed (Hinkes Col. 1 line 49 and Col. 2 line 18).

Hinkes is silent on explicitly teaching that the coating is an aqueous gel capsule having a moisture content of at least 90% by weight and the steps of refrigerating the at least one plant seed under on of a humidifying conditions or in an airtight container so that moisture is not lost from the aqueous gel capsule and under the condition that the at least one plant seed does not germinate; and sowing the at least one plant seed. However, Carlson teaches that it is general knowledge in the art of plant husbandry to encapsulate seeds with an aqueous gel coat (Carlson Col. 5 line 4-7) for long-term storage (Carlson abstract line 7) and to store the seeds under refrigerated conditions in an airtight container (Carlson Col. 22 line 61-67) so that the plant does not germinate

Art Unit: 3643

and then to plant the seed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Hinkes with the teachings of Carlson at the time of the invention since the modification is merely the selection of a seed coat selected for its known advantage of improving germination as taught by Carlson (Carlson Col. 1 line 65-66). Hinkes teaches there is sufficient motivation in the art to modify a celery seed with a seed coat to improve mechanized planting (Hinkes Col. 1 line 50-53). Carlson is cited merely to teach that seeds coated with aqueous gel coats are old and notoriously well-known in the art along with the commonly practiced procedures of long-term storage of coated seeds.

Regarding Claim 3, Hinkes as modified teaches that long-term storage occurs under refrigerated conditions (Carlson Col. 22 line 65), but is silent on explicitly teaching the refrigeration is carried out in a dark place. However, Hinkes clearly teaches that celery seeds require light to germinate (Hinkes Col. 1 line 60-65). It is notoriously well-known in the art that it is desirable to not have the seeds start germinating during storage. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further modify the teachings of Hinkes at the time of the invention to prevent pre-mature germinations of the seeds.

Regarding Claim 7, Hinkes as modified teaches wherein the at least one plant seed encapsulated in an aqueous gel capsule is a pelletized seed (Hinkes Col. 2 line 18). Thus, the modification made in claim is merely taking the known palletized natural celery seed of Hinkes and modifying it with the addition of a hydrated gel coat of Carlson to improve germination as taught by Carlson.

Art Unit: 3643

Regarding Claim 13, Hinkes as modified teaches the step of refrigerating the at least one encapsulated plant seed is conducted at a temperature of about 15C or lower

(Carlson Col. 22 line 65).

Regarding Claim 15, Hinkes as modified teaches the seed is celery (Hinkes abstract line 7).

Regarding Claim 16, Hinkes as modified teaches the gel capsule is agar (Carlson Col. 5 line 5).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 26 July 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Hinkes and Carlson are cited to teach general knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art. Hinkes is cited to teach that *natural celery seeds* are known to be light germinator plant seeds, of 1mm. Hinkes teaches it is general knowledge to pelletize celery seed for ease of mechanized sowing.

Carlson teaches that it is old and notoriously well-known to encapsulate a natural seed in a hydrated gel to improve germination (Carlson Col 1 line 65-66).

Obviously, improving germination inherently prevents defective germination.

Examiner maintains that the method steps of storing the seed in a refrigerated state under conditions that do no permit germination are old and notoriously well-known plant storage techniques to store seeds till desired time of sowing. This if further supported by the teachings of Carlson, who teaches refrigerating the plant matter in an

Art Unit: 3643

airtight container (Carlson Col. 22 line 62-67). Carlson teaches general knowledge about "hydrated gels" i.e. aqueous gel coats (Carlson starting at Col. 4 line 35).

Examiner maintains that one of ordinary skill in the art would readily combine the general knowledge exemplified by Carlson to modify the natural celery seed as taught by Hinkes. In other words, Carlson was cited purely to teach that it is old and notoriously well-known to coat natural seeds with an aqueous gel coat to improve germinations and provides a general teaching of known types of gel coats available e.g. agar (Carlson Col.5 line 5).

Both Hinkes and Carlson are directed to the same technologies having related goals and objects. They are both related to the technology of plant seed treatment with the goal of germinating the plant seed into a healthy plant upon sowing.

Response to arguments reiterated from previous office action:

Examiner maintains that the method steps outlined by applicant in the claims are old and notoriously well-known concepts and steps in the art of plant husbandry. The prior art of record clearly teaching that it is known to coat small seed and to pelletize small seeds to increase mechanized planting abilities. Furthermore, it is notoriously well-known to coat seed with aqueous gel coats to improve germination. Hinkes clearly demonstrates that water, temperature light, air (Hinkes Col. 1 line 62-63) all influence the germination rate of a seed. Carlson further teaches the general knowledge in these parameters come into play when storing a coated seed. It is general knowledge to control temperature, light, etc to deter germination during storage. Therefore, the

Art Unit: 3643

examiner maintains that applicant has not patentably distinguished over the teachings of the cited prior art of record.

Cited prior art of record, U.S. Patent No. 4,715,143 to Redenbaugh teaches a hydrogel seed capsule of sodium alginate of 90% water (Redenbaugh Col. 2 line 55-68 and Col. 3). Cited prior art of record, U.S. Patent No. 5,701,700 to Kohno teaches that gel-coated seeds/aqueous gel coated seeds make it feasible to mechanize planting of small seeds and to store them to be planted later at refrigerated temperatures (Kohnoe Col. 1 line 15-17, line 23-25 and Col. 3 line 28-29).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrea M. Valenti whose telephone number is 571-272-6895. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am-5:30pm M-Th.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/837,020

Art Unit: 3643

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M. Poon can be reached on 571-272-6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andrea M. Valenti Primary Examiner Art Unit 3643

26 September 2006